

## Sorrows of Vietnam

American policy in Vietnam is not really much sillier than American policy everywhere else. It just looks worse here. The sad fact is that the American policy today is disastrous and will produce a debacle whenever and wherever it is subjected to any great stress. It is, for the matter of that, producing a worse disaster in the Middle East than in Vietnam. Vietnam is not an isolated case; it is simply part of the overall failure of American policy. The people who made the disastrous Vietnam policies are incompetent to make policy anywhere. They are natural-born ditch-diggers who have no business sitting in the seats of power. The action which they have sponsored in Vietnam is absolutely indefensible. We have come into this small nation and have carried on a military "make work" project for a number of years, and now are going to pull out without having accomplished anything. We have brought no new enlightenment or freedom to Vietnam. We have never seriously tried to win a real military victory. The object of war is to win; you cannot implement any kind of viable policies until you have won. This preposterous affair in Vietnam is the typical product of a mud-head civilian establishment which does not know what war is about. The failure took place at the highest level of policy-making. It is useless to blame mere presidents for the Vietnam war. The war has run through the administrations of three presidents, all three of whom acted like office boys taking orders from the Establishment. In the Vietnam affair, there has been no statesmanship in the White House; there has been only hearkening and obedience to the orders of the murky Establishment which really rules the country.

The Armed Forces could have handled the Vietnam affair nicely. They simply were not allowed to do it. I have found much to admire in the Armed Forces in Vietnam. I have, for example, met a good number of enlisted men who were extremely well informed about the recent history of Vietnam. They knew the history of the Trotskyite Communists whom the French big business interests used to control the people. They knew about Ho Chi Minh's career as a police informer for the French Colonial Police. At least some American officers in Vietnam have gone to the trouble to learn about these matters for themselves, and then to teach the real facts to men under their command. In some cases, the teaching has been extremely good. All of these good efforts have gone for nothing because of policy failures at a high level.

Of all the foreigners who have been in Vietnam, the Vietnamese probably like the Japanese best, or perhaps one should say "least worst." The Japanese were frankly arrogant and rather cruel, but they did not practice any complicated ideological deceptions upon the people. The French Establishment secretly controlled the Trotskyite Communist Party and used it to manipulate the people. Ho Chi Minh was a wily old Trotskyite who changed sides and went over to the Russians in his old age. The Americans have pretended to be "anti-Communists" but have made no real fight against Communism. Indeed, we are, for all practical purposes, very tightly allied to Red China. The American Establishment backed Mao's Revolution in China and put it over against Stalin's opposition. Once upon a time, many long years ago, Ho Chi Minh was tightly allied to a Jew named Borodin, who powerfully promoted Communism in China. Borodin organized a highly effective "Red Network" in the Chinese cities. Then Borodin made a bad mistake; he went to Moscow. In Moscow, Borodin was arrested. He was tortured in the Lubkianna Prison, and there was extracted from him complete information about the "Red Network" he had established in Chinese cities. This information was passed to Stalinist agents in China, who promptly informed the Chinese authorities. China cracked down hard. A great many heads were cut off. The Chinese have always been blasé about cutting off heads. There used to be a sort of athletic stadium in Shanghai, where you could pay admission and watch the behan-

nings. This was a favorite sport among the Europeans in Shanghai, and is described rather brutally by Octave Mirabeau in his novel *Torture Garden*. After the Stalinists squealed on the Chinese Communists, heads were cut off by the hundreds. The Chinese Communist Revolution was wiped out in the cities, and it never recovered in the cities.

The dismayed U.S. State Department, determined to have a Communist Revolution in China, studied the situation. Finally it backed Mao's "rural strategy." Mao left the cities, where treacherous Stalinist agents lurked on every hand and took to the countryside. Backed by the power of the State Department, the CFR, and the New York Jews, he finally brought off the Communist Revolution which Stalin tried to prevent. Indeed, the CFR schemed to deliver the whole Far East into the hands of Communists. In the Chinese Revolution, both Russia and the U.S.A. actually backed precisely the opposite sides from the sides they claimed to be backing. The U.S.A. claimed to be backing Chiang Kai-Shek, but sabotaged him thoroughly. Russia claimed to be backing Communism, but discouraged Mao in every possible way. Stalin once told Mao, "A Communist Revolution is impossible in China. You should make a deal with Chiang."

So why did we go into Vietnam?

Certainly not to fight Communism. Many Americans in the lower echelons want to fight Communism and know how to fight Communism; but they are not allowed to do it. Our entire involvement in Vietnam has been clouded by secret factors which are concealed from the American people. There are secret deals whose implications reach around the world, especially into the Middle East. Some Americans in Saigon say, "We are being pulled out of Vietnam so that we can fight for the Jews in the Middle East." This is an over-simplification. Actually, the Jews are depending mainly upon Red China to pull their chestnuts out of the fire in the Middle East. The Jews control China completely. Peking swarms with American Jews. There is, for example, the Jew Coe, who was formerly Secretary of the International Monetary Fund. The Chinese H-bomb was given to China by world Jewry. It was assembled by French and West German technicians, and some of the parts came from the United States. Today the Red Chinese are trying to penetrate the Arab states. The Arabs can expect nothing but treachery from the Chinese. World Jewry is trying to encircle Russia with a ring of hostile states; Red China is the eastern anchor of this Jewish alliance. The American Establishment is secretly playing this Jewish game to the hilt. We have no diplomatic relations with Red China, but through the secret alliance, we have virtually an iron-clad alliance with Red China just the same. Behind our shallow and fraudulent mask of "anti-Communism," we are backing "True Communism," i.e. Trotskyite Marxism, around the world. Capitalist media such as *Time*, and the *New York Times* are openly calling for a new Communist Revolution in Russia.

This is why our policies have failed in Vietnam, and, for the matter of that, also in the Middle East and everywhere else in the world. Our real policies differ sharply from our stated policies; and our real policies are indefensible.

The anti-war demonstrations in the U.S.A. have been backed by the Establishment. Nobody can start a movement of this kind without substantial financial backing. Things like "The Mobe" have to be backed by men in power. Years ago, the "protest" function would have been performed by labor. There would have been strikes against the war. The press would have rumbled about the "wickedness" of the working class, even while secretly supporting the demonstrations. The working class would have been blamed for the whole failure of American policy in Vietnam. Working men got fed up with this kind of nonsense long ago; rising bitterness among working men forced the abandonment of such a policy. The motivated working class is the most conservative element in the country, and they got tired of being the goat. This time college kids had to perform the "protest" function. The

smarties among them know that they are finks rather than real rebels. They expect to be rewarded with good jobs later in life. The leaders of the protest movements will go on to become vice presidents of big banks which secretly finance Communism around the world. The dull-eyed suckers who march in the parades will never know the score. Anything which is trumpeted by the *New York Times* represents Establishment policy. The anti-war demonstrators are not authentic, spontaneous protests. They are artificial, and they represent the efforts of a corrupt Establishment to manipulate public opinion.

What does the American Establishment really want?

Certainly it does not want to fight Communism. It financed the Russian and Chinese Communist revolutions. It views World Communism as the intellectual instrument of world-wide economic power. There are, however, serious disciplinary problems. The Jewish philosophies of the American Establishment are sterile and mechanistic. There is a tendency to view man as a machine who can be manipulated like a machine; but man is not a machine; he is an ornery biped who is extremely resourceful in thwarting efforts to organize him as the American Jews wish to organize him. Russians are an especially ornery lot. They do not try to restore Czarism or Capitalism; but they change the meanings of all the Marxist words to suit purposes of their own, rather than the purposes of international Jewry. Enforcing discipline against Russia is the major problem of World Jewry.

Vietnam is subject to secret horse-trading. The issue is being decided by secret, shady international Communist politics. Many factors are involved. There are three million Jews in Russia, and Russia will not allow them to leave; they are a sort of hostage for the good behaviour of World Jewry toward Russia. The Jews want to play Red China against Russia, both in the Middle East and the Far East. Out of this secret, savage horse-trading and competition between Russians and Jews, American "policy" in Vietnam is born. It is a thoroughly ignoble and worthless policy, not at all related to the real interests of the United States.

The Vietnamese are charming people. They wish heartily that all foreigners would get out of their country and stay out. Since they are a small nation, they are unable to enforce their desires. The French squeezed Vietnam hard. They often threatened to destroy villages and kill all of the people if they did not obey. The Viet Cong will also destroy a village and kill all of the people, if it suits their purposes. Lately an American Army lieutenant has been accused of killing all of the people of the village of My Lai; he will be court-martialed. Since I was not a witness to this incident, I will make no comment about it. The press in Moscow and Hanoi is playing this incident big; but they are merely pots calling kettles black. The Viet Cong does not court-martial men who kill villagers; it pins medals on them. The Vietnamese think of foreigners in general as people who destroy villages and kill people. We can also report that the Vietnamese, like many people elsewhere, are fed up with "anti-Communists" who secretly support Communism, and with Communists who secretly sell them out to Capitalists. If they must have foreigners in their country, they would be glad to see the Japanese come back again. The Japanese had a real sense of order. The Vietnamese will never be able to live with either the Communists or the anti-Communists. Neither will people anywhere else, for that matter. There is no honesty of motive in either the Communists or the anti-Communists. They always claim to be fighting each other, and always secretly work together. They are working secretly together against both the people of Vietnam and the people of the United States. This is the central cause of the failure of American policy in Vietnam and everywhere else in the world, including the home front in the U.S.A. American policy in Vietnam is no more disastrous than American policy everywhere else; it just shows up in a worse light here. There is a basic defect in American policy which must be corrected if total disaster is to be avoided.